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ABSTRACT

Field joint coating failure has been known for site of corrosion damage in many gas transmission pipelines. The unfriendly environment for coating application in the field has been regarded as a cause of coating failure. In this study the results of coating failure mechanism analysis and the approach to understand this problem was reported. The stress on the coating of buried pipe was analyzed with numerical analysis. The effect of burial procedure on the stress of the coating was studied at various conditions. The shape of wrinkled coating at the girth weld shows the evidence of soil stress concentration at the coating layer. It was shown that the compaction step was important for the soil stress control on the pipe. The step-wise compaction could lower the level of stress. And the failure mode observed in the field implies that the tensile hoop stress at the field join coating could reduce the failure by wrinkle.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Prevention of failure and maintaining of integrity of pipeline is very important part of operation. As corrosion is a major cause of failure of pipeline, corrosion control is a main concern of gas transmission network. Coating of pipe is usually coated in the plant. However, coating on the girth weld of pipe should be done in the field. The field joint coating applied on girth weld areas after welding must be compatible with the plant coating and should resist to construction and service conditions. ISO 13623 recommends that performance of field joint coatings should be as good as or even better than the performance of plant-applied coatings of pipes.(1) However the application environment in the field is more unfavorable for the quality control coating. Many failures occur at the field applied coating.(2,3,4) The author’s company also experienced failures of field applied coating. PE (Polyethylene) based heat shrinkable sleeves is used in the authors company. Plant applied coating is mainly extruded PE.

In this study the results of coating failure mechanism analysis have been done. The stress on the coating of buried pipe was analyzed with numerical analysis. The effect of burial procedure on the stress on the coating was studied at various conditions. And the failure mode observed in the field was compared with the calculation results to confirm the mode of failure.

2. CASE HISTORY

Many metal loss found by the MFL (Magnetic Flux Leakage) pig inspection was found to be related with the coating failure of the heat shrinkable sleeves.(5) The coating failure of the pipe was observed as wrinkle at the lower part of the pipe around 6 o’clock position.(Figure 1,2) And the coating failure at the girth weld joint is often leads to severe corrosion related MIC (Microbiologically Influenced Corrosion).(Figure 3) This type of coating failure was reported frequently in Korea. We found that about 90% of metal loss were related with this type of coating failure. The wrinkle at the bottom of the pipe is unusual in other country. The reason of the failure was sought in this work.

Figure 1. Coating failure at the field applied coating
3. SIMULATION OF CONSTRUCTION PROCEDURE

In this country most of onshore transmission pipelines were welded and coated in the trench as many pipeline is built along the roads and excavation of roadside are allowed only for short length to minimize traffic congestion. So the backfill soil is poured from above using excavator during backfilling job. The backfill materials used were usually river sand. The standard construction procedure prescribes step-by-step compaction of back-fill soil. However the precise procedure was
sometimes disobeyed by the contractor. It is very common to back-fill the trench in a single step. The initial density of soil was analyzed using particle flow code in 2 dimensions (PFC2D of ITASCA). Following results shows the typical calculation results of the analysis. The particles falling from the above will fill the space around the pipe in the trench. (Figure 4)

![Figure 4. Simulation of Back-filling with Particle Flow Code](image)

The void fraction around the pipe in a case varied with the position. (Figure 5) The results were shown in Table 1. The void fraction at the invert position was highest and that at the haunch position was still high compared to spring line position. This phenomenon might provide the cause of soil flow around the pipe. And the flow of soil will induce shear stress on coating of the lower part of the pipe. These results explain the observed coating failures (Figure 1, 2).

![Figure 5. Particle Distribution around the Pipe from Particle Flow Analysis](image)
Table 1. Void Distribution around the Pipe

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part</th>
<th>Void Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spring line</td>
<td>0.186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haunch</td>
<td>0.2739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invert</td>
<td>0.3147</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the observed results softer soil at underneath the pipe was assumed for the calculation. Next figure shows the grid for the calculations.(Figure 6)

Figure 6. Grid for FEM analysis

Two scenarios for the soil configuration were assumed for FEM analysis. The first case is for uniform soil properties and second one has wedge shaped soft soil around haunch position. The maximum shear stress at second case was 180% at second case compared to the first uniform case. In this calculation it is assumed that the pipe move freely downward with soil.

Figure 7. Soil configuration scenarios for FEM analysis

The results for the second case were shown in Figure 8 for 610mm diameter (t=14.3mm). The shear stress was increased with depth of burial. The shear stress at the coating surface varied from 15 kPa to 70 kPa and continuously increased with the depth. The shear strength of mastic type heat shrinkable sleeve specified in EN12068 is 50 kPa (at 10mm/min. of crosshead speed) and that in NACE RP0303 175 kPa (at 1.3 mm/min, of head speed)(6,7). However the test results are obtained by fixed crosshead speed but the stress in the soil could be considered as static. Under the static load the coating could be sheared at much lower level of shear stress. A test method called Alyeska shear test is sometimes done at about 14 kPa of static shear load. Test duration for Alyeska test is 50 hours at maximum.(8) The stress on the coating exceeds the test load of Alyeska.
The analysis results suggest that the shear stress of construction in the trench could induce shearing of the pipeline coating.

![Graph showing variation of maximum shear stress with burial depth at 610 mm diameter pipe](image)

**Figure 8. Variation of maximum shear stress with burial depth at 610 mm diameter pipe**

## 4. FIELD EXPERIMENT ACCORDING TO STANDARD CONSTRUCTION PROCEDURE

The field experiment was designed to find the relation between the coating application procedure and the coating performance. Heat shrinkable sleeve was applied on the 760mm diameter PE coated pipe. The coating application conditions were varied to find the relation between the coating application condition and coating performance. The variables were preheating temperature (40°C and 60°C), the shrinking margin of the sheet before shrinking, the surface temperature before backfilling (70°C, 40°C, 70°C and ambient temperature(about 10°C)) and backfilling method (back fill to the top of the pipe in one step and back fill to the bottom of the pipe before full back filling).
Table 2. Summary of variables for the field test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Group A Back fill temperature</th>
<th>Group B Standard</th>
<th>Group C PreHeat and margins of sheet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A-1 1.1</td>
<td>B-1</td>
<td>C-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A-1 1.2</td>
<td>B-2</td>
<td>C-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pre-heating (°C)</td>
<td>60 60 60 60</td>
<td>40 40 40 40</td>
<td>60 60 60 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>margin of sheet (cm)</td>
<td>5 5 5 5</td>
<td>15 15 30 30</td>
<td>5 15 30 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>back fill temperature</td>
<td>70°C 40°C 30°C</td>
<td>Amb. (24hr) Amb. (24hr) Amb. (24hr)</td>
<td>Amb. (24hr) Amb. (24hr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back fill method</td>
<td>1 step 1 step 1 step 1 step</td>
<td>1 step 1 step 2 step 1 step</td>
<td>1 step 1 step 1 step 1 step</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All of the application was done above ground. (Figure 9) It means that the condition for the working were very good to apply and to inspect every surface of the coating. The heating of the surface was uniform. After application of the coating the pipes were buried to the depth of 1.5 m to the top of the pipe. The typical value of the commercial mastic heat shrinkable sleeve is about 200 kPa.

Figure 9. Coating Application for Field Test of Coating Performance
After 205 days after installation the pipes were excavated to inspect any imperfection. (Figure 10) Every coating was found to be sound except small wrinkles in circular direction. It was believed that the redistribution of hot mastic adhesive during curing induced the circular wrinkles. No severe wrinkles related to local adhesion failure was found in any condition. The coating defects which was found in the in-service pipe was not reproduced in this field test. There are several possibility in interpreting the results of this field test. The first implication is that the coating product with adequate shear strength could resist normal shear stress during construction and service. The second one is that the bad workmanship or the use of wrong backfill materials or limited working condition in the trench is related to the coating failure.

5. SUMMARY
Some cases of coating failure was reported in this work. The shape of wrinkled coating at the girth weld shows the evidence of soil stress concentration at the coating layer. In this study the
results of coating failure mechanism analysis and the approach to understand this problem was reported. The stress on the coating could be increased with the development of low density soil part during the construction process. In some cases the imposed shear stress could be higher than the specified minimum shear strength of the heat shrinkable sleeves. The wrinkle which was found at the in-service pipe was not reproduced in this test when the pipe was lowered after installation of the joint coating. It is believed that the installation procedure has relationship with the wrinkle development.
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