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Overview

- Background and Target of the Survey
- New Measurement Campaign
  - Field Measurements in Russia
  - Operational Data Obtained from Gazprom
- Overview over GHG emissions
- Actual and future development
Background of the Survey

- GHG emissions of the natural gas life cycle
  - Undoubted **advantages** in direct CO\textsubscript{2} emissions (low emission factor, high efficiency)
  - **Disadvantage**: CH\textsubscript{4} is a potent greenhouse gas
    --> Leakages along the process chain may lead directly to significant GHG emissions

- Discussion emerged:
  Is switch to natural gas a climate mitigation option?
  - Lignite based East German energy system came under pressure by gas fired CHP
  - Some studies stating extreme emissions of Russian gas export system are in the public debate in Germany
  - Other countries have comparable debates (E.g. Belgium)
Measurements (2003) at the Russian Natural Gas Export System
Measurements of CH₄ Emissions in Russia

- Earlier measurements in Russia
  - Critics on representativeness, transparency and, on uncertainty ranges
  - Made by gas industry without independent know-how

- New measurements and analyses were made in 2003 and 2004
  - On behalf of Gazprom and E.ON Ruhrgas
  - By Wuppertal Institute together with Max Planck Institute, Mainz and VNIIGAZ Institute, Moscow
  - According to international standards for greenhouse gas inventories (US EPA, IPCC)
  - With bigger sample size and more representative
  - Transparently documented
Focus: Export corridors as most relevant part of the gas transmission system

- The long distance transport system:
  - 153,000 km pipelines
  - 324 compressor stations
  - 4,000 compressors with 41,000 MW installed

- Export pipeline corridors:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Corridor</th>
<th>Length in Russia</th>
<th>Pipeline installed</th>
<th>Compressor stations</th>
<th>MW Installed (% of total)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Northern</td>
<td>3,075 km</td>
<td>12,000 km</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>5,442 MW (13%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>3,376 km</td>
<td>22,000 km</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>14,544 MW (35%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survey</td>
<td>&gt;600 km</td>
<td>2,380 km</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>540 MW (1,3%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Representative sample of sizes and ages of machines /pipelines covered
Russian Gas Export Mains and Location of Measurements

- **Northern Corridor**
  - Sewer Gazprom
  - Yamburg

- **Central Corridor**
  - Mos Transgaz
  - Uchyta

**Measurements 1996/97**
- Ruhrgas AG and Gazprom
  - 2 compressor stations
  - 1 pipeline section
  - Production and processing at Yamburg

**Measurements 2003**
- Wuppertal Institute and Max-Planck-Institute
  - 5 compressor stations
  - 2380 km of pipelines
Measurements at all potentially emitting component of the compressor stations

Kursk, May 2003
And at the Pipeline routes

- 25 valve nodes in the pipelines were investigated
- Approx. 2 380 km of pipeline surveyed by helicopter overflight
The Measurements

Coverage:

- unplanned emissions (leakages) and
- planned releases (from machine vents, fuel gas plants, compressor seal oil system)

Programme on site:

- **Step 1:** Screening and identification of elevated methane levels (leaks)
- **Step 2:** Measuring of places with significant concentration
- **Integrated quality management**
Step 1: Screening of complete shops & pipeline intersections

Screening of fittings at separator

Screening at pipeline intersection

Kazym, October 2003
Screening of Pipelines by Helicopter and Laser Detector

Detector indication on paper strip

Laser detector

Davidovskaya, May 2003
Step 2: Measuring

- Valves & flanges via flux method
- Vents direct volumetric

*Measurements at vent stacks*

Kursk, May 2003

*Measurement at gate valve*

Uchta, June 2003
Measurements at Vent Stacks:

Njukzeniza
June 2003

Davidovskaja, May 2003
Quality Assurance & Quality Control

- **Standardised measurements**
- **Documentation:**
  - *Before:* Project manual (agreed standards; procedures; regulations) as guideline for measurement teams
  - *During:* Day logs (measurement; site; team; number; method; meter; temperature, wind, air pressure, results)
  - *After:* Technical report prepared at every station and signed by all partners
- **Independent monitoring** of measurements by expert of MPI
- **Database** with all measurements completed on-site
  - Direct on-site check
  - Check of subsequent statistical analyses for completeness and errors
- **Archiving** of all relevant documents at WI
Operational Data

Obtained from Gazprom/VNIIGAZ for extrapolation of results

- Comprehensive data set of both export corridors was provided
  - To determine all operation related emissions and
  - Emissions due to breakdowns
- Detailed information given for every machine hall & pipeline section
  of both corridors (machines, running hours, fuel gas usage, maintenance,
  etc.)
- Parallel collection of data at 5 surveyed stations to complete and
  verify given data

⇒ Typical emission factors for all operation related emissions
  could be calculated
⇒ Measured emissions could be extrapolated
Results
Results  (full process chain including production & processing)

- **Approx. 1 % of natural gas is emitted** (from production site in Yamburg to German border) (range: 0.6 to 2.4 %)
- **Two third** of GHG result from **transport energy** demand (CO₂)
- **Main sources** for CH₄-emissions:
  - Leakages at machines
  - CH₄-emissions at production and processing
  - Repairs and maintenance works at pipelines and stations
  - Breakdowns and leakages at pipelines are less than 2 % of total GHG emission
- A first **extrapolation** of our results to the complete Russian natural gas industry shows an emission range comparable to the US situation
  - Russia: 1.4 % losses (Range: 1.0 – 2.5 %) / USA: 1.5 % (1.0 – 2.0 %)
  - Russia benefits from a younger system and bigger size of wells, pipelines etc.
Resulting GHG emission balance of natural gas (for the German market)

- Indirect GHG emissions of natural gas are comparable to those of competing energy carriers
- Total GHG emissions of 100% Russian natural gas used in Germany are about 18% better than those of oil (final energy)
- This balance is even improved when higher efficiency of gas fired appliances are taken into account
Future challenges

- Huge potentials for GHG emission reduction remain (despite the efforts already made by Gazprom)
  - In existing infrastructure (CH$_4$-loss reduction & energy saving)
  - Re- and new investment (fields and pipelines) offers huge potential for introduction of low emission equipment

- Potential threats (that might increase emissions in the future):
  - Ageing of pipelines and equipment
  - The decline of existing fields and the development of new gas fields under more severe conditions

- The favourable current GHG emission situation could be even improved but there are also challenges
Conclusion

- Natural gas is the **fossil** fuel with the lowest greenhouse gas emissions by far
- Natural gas is a readily available option for reducing greenhouse gas emissions
- It can supplement the climate mitigation policy of substantially increasing energy efficiency and switching to renewable energy sources
- However, challenges remain to gas industry
  - In order to maintain this position and
  - To further improve the process chain emissions
- Industry can meet the challenges
  - By emphazising GHG mitigation in investment and operation
  - By conducting mitigation projects e.g. as Joint Implementation projects
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Further Information: www.wupperinst.org

Download of the report: www.wupperinst.org/download/1203-report-en

Available also in Russian and German language.
The new quality of our results:

- **More extensive and representative measurements than before**
  - Conducted by independent scientific institutes
  - Measurements based on internationally accepted methods (according to international standards of IPCC / US EPA)
  - With integrated quality assurance
  - Transparency of calculation and results

- **Transparent extrapolation**
  - Based on detailed Gazprom data on machines and equipment
  - Extrapolation by type of device (according to US-methodology)
  - Error analysis by Monte Carlo Model (defining confidence intervals)

- **Results based on representative measurements and surveys**